UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/796,706 | 03/09/2004 | Johanna Fraki | 442-010769-US (D01) | 2938 |
| 2512 PERMAN & G | 7590 05/19/200 REEN | EXAMINER | | |
| 425 POST ROA | | ARAQUE JR, GERARDO | | |
| FAIRFIELD, C | 1 00824 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3689 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/19/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/796,706 | FRAKI ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Gerardo Araque Jr. | 3689 | | |

| | Gerardo Araque Jr. | 3009 | | | | | | |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 May 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited al (with appeal fee) in compliance w | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Aono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (i MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | r). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext | | | | | | | | |
| under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | hortened statutory period for reply origin | nally set in the final Offic | e action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f | filed within two months | s of the date of | | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b | | | cause | | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | • | E below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better | • | lucina or cimplifuina th | na inquan for | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially rec | lucing or simplifying tr | ie issues for | | | | | |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (f | PTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | , | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) and how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | l be entered and an ex | xplanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>33-42 and 44-62</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | before or on the date of filing a No | otice of Appeal will not | be entered | | | | | |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fails | s to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowand | ce because: | | | | | |
| 12. \square Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (| PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 13. 🔀 Other: <u>The applicant's amendments include several limita</u> Further the applicant's arguments are directed towards the newl | | | | | | | | |
| | /Donnie Dub!/ | | | | | | | |
| | /Dennis Ruhl/ Primary Examiner Art II | nit 3680 | | | | | | |
| | EUMAN CXAMMER AN U | LIII JULAN | | | | | | |